Page 1 of 6

Exhibit 4

1

for Fleming companies, Inc.

25

- 1 nothing for your business?
- 2 A. Because under our understanding, it was okay to use, so
- 3 we used it. Subsequently by taking out the changes that we
- 4 made, it didn't work very well, so we did, in fact, get rid of
- 5 it on June 9th.
- 6 Q. But I'm talking about -- actually, I would like to go
- 7 back to March 7th, the day after the jury verdict:
- 8 Why not just walk into the logistics department, as
- 9 the boss, and say, turn it off. We don't need this, because
- 10 it's your knowledge and hard work that we make our money with,
- 11 not this software that's been nothing but trouble.
- Why not just shut it off?
- 13 A. Well, for one thing, I wasn't the president at that time,
- 14 I became the president after that, the ending of March. When
- 15 I got on board, it already -- we were already using it. And
- 16 my assumption is that it was working -- you know, we have to
- 17 have a way to capture data. So we were using it.
- 18 We thought we were okay by going back to the
- 19 original. Subsequently it didn't work that well with taking
- 20 out the -- basically, improvements. So we did look for
- 21 something else. And once we switched, yeah, we were done with
- 22 it.
- 23 Q. But on April 1st you were the president of the Hawaii
- 24 division?
- 25 A. Correct.

- 1 O. And you said Mark Dillon didn't use it?
- 2 A. He didn't use it as we use it. He was the network
- 3 administrator, so I'm sure that he was updating or doing what
- 4 any IT person needs to do to maintain the database.
- 5 Q. Well, isn't it true, ma'am, that Mark Dillon was found --
- 6 it was found that the changes that Mark Dillon made was found
- 7 by a jury in March of 2003, right before this period started,
- 8 to have been infringement?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. So, would it be fair to say that he used it for
- 11 infringement?
- 12 A. No, that's not what I'm saying. Don't mis- -- don't turn
- 13 my words around.
- 14 Q. But what was he doing with it? He was developing
- 15 Mr. Berry's database without his permission; correct?
- 16 A. I don't think that -- I mean... he -- he updated and made
- 17 changes, yes, to the database.
- 18 Q. Yes. And those were found to infringe. That's your
- 19 understanding?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Did you make changes to the database?
- 22 A. I did.
- 23 Q. You did?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. You believe that those changes were not infringement?

- 1 A. I soon found out that it was infringement, yes.
- 2 Q. So your use of the database for work was also to change
- 3 the database; is that correct?
- 4 MR. BAUMANN: Objection, Your Honor; vague as to
- 5 period of time. And it's irrelevant, frankly, to the damage
- 6 phase.
- 7 MR. HOSODA: Join, Your Honor.
- 8 THE COURT: I'll allow it.
- 9 Go ahead.
- 10 THE WITNESS: Can you restate it, please?
- 11 BY MR. HOGAN:
- 12 O. So, your use of the database was -- for work was also to
- 13 change the database; is that correct?
- MR. HOSODA: Again, Your Honor, objection as to
- 15 time?
- 16 THE COURT: I'll allow this.
- 17 A. I changed the database, yes, in order to operate, because
- 18 we were unable to get ahold of Mr. Berry.
- 19 BY MR. HOGAN:
- 20 Q. What did you do to try to get ahold of Mr. Berry?
- 21 A. I attempted to call on various phone numbers that he had
- 22 left with us.
- 23 Q. Did you ever ask Mr. Smith to get in touch with me so you
- 24 could find Mr. Berry?
- MR. BAUMANN: Again, I object --

-00000-I, Stephen B. Platt, Official Court Reporter, United States District Court, District of Hawaii, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of proceedings before the Honorable Susan Oki Mollway, United States District Judge. /s/ Stephen B. Platt THURSDAY, APRIL 27, 2006 STEPHEN B. PLATT, CSR NO. 248